

## BUREAU OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

Date: January 25, 2007

School: Fleming Island Elementary

School District: Clay County School Board

REQUIREMENTS	PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING REQUIREMENTS  Papert progress toward meeting accountability requirements in the appropriate cells below
REQUIREMENTS	Report progress toward meeting accountability requirements in the appropriate cells below
HIGHLY QUALIFIED CERTIFIED ADMINISTRATORS	X No Changes in Administration have taken place since the last report.
HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS	<ul> <li>□ No changes in instructional staff have taken place since the last report.</li> <li>X □ There are no instructional vacancies at this time.</li> <li>X □ All teachers are certified and teaching in-field.</li> </ul>
TEACHER MENTORING ACTIVITIES	All teachers who are new to FIE are assigned a peer teacher. The peer teacher and the new teacher are on the same grade level when possible.
EXTENDED LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES	After School tutoring in the area of reading is offered two days a week to 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade students considered to be at risk.  After School Tutoring in the area of math is offered one day a week to 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students considered to be at risk.  A status of at risk is determined by the classroom teacher based on standardized test scores from the previous school year and on classroom performance measures.

# READING

#### SRI

3+ = 50% + 2 = 30-40%1=29%

#### **DIBELS**

3+=LR-Initial 2=MR - Strategic 1=HR Intensive

Curriculum Area/Benchmark: Strand A: Reading Standard 1 Benchmark LAA122								
Name of Assessment Used: Baseline-FCAT Reading; Progress Monitoring-SRI and DIBELS								
Grade	Baseline	1 <sup>st</sup>	%	2 <sup>nd</sup>	%	3 <sup>rd</sup>	%	Total %
Assessed	Data	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Change
		Report (October)		Report (January)		Report (April)		
Grade 5	SRI			DIBELS				
% meeting high								
standards Level 3+	80.3			88.3	10			
Level 2	6.3			7.1	13			
Level 1	13.4			4.5	66			
Grade 4								
% meeting high								
standards Level 3+	72.1			88.2	22			
Level 2	10.8			6.6	-4			
Level 1	17.1			5.3	-7			
Grade 3								
% meeting high								
standards Level 3+	60.3			85.7	42			
Level 2	3.4			11.4	235			
Level 1	36.2			2.9	-9			

Enter narrative here. (Student uses the reading process effectively. Selects from a variety of simple strategies, including phonics, word structure, context clues, self-questioning, confirming simple predictions, retelling visual clues to identify words & construct meaning form various texts, illustrations, graphics & charts.)

Students were identified using last years FCAT SSS Reading Achievement Level. The progress of the same students was monitored using DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency assessment and the SRI (Scholastic Reading Inventory). Teachers also identified students in their classes considered to be struggling readers. Progress Monitoring ORF probes were used for practice to improve fluency. After School Tutoring was also offered for struggling students.

Results indicate that students in grades 3, 4 & 5 improved in fluency. Some student's scores indicate there is a need to strengthen comprehension skills.

#### Curriculum Area/Benchmark: MAA322 Name of Assessment Used: FCAT Math and Harcourt Performance testing 1<sup>st</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> % % % Grade Total % Baseline **Progress** Change **Progress** Change **Progress** Change Assessed Data Change Report Report Report (October) (January) (April) **FCAT** HPT Grade 6 % meeting high standards Level 3+ 96 -12 84.1 Level 2 8.9 197 2 7.0 Level 1 250 Grade 5 % meeting high standards Level 3+ 92 98.2 7 -82 Level 2 5 0.9 4 -78 Level 1 0.9 Grade 4 % meeting high standards Level 3+ 90 97.2 8 -87 6 8.0 Level 2 5 100 Level 1 0

**MATHEMATICS** 

FCAT SSS 3+=70+ 2=60-69 1=59-

Enter narrative here. (The student selects the appropriate operation to solve specific problems involving addition, subtraction & multiplication of whole numbers, decimals & fractions, and division of whole numbers.)

Baseline data was taken from last year's SSS Math Achievement levels. The students' progress was monitored using performance on tests from the school's math curriculum. Teachers used Drops in the Bucket, Understanding Math and/or Mountain Math as a daily review of basic skills. After School Tutoring was offered to struggling students and a Math Club was offered to provide enrichment.

Type of Essay: Exposi Grade	Baseline	1 <sup>st</sup>	%	2 <sup>nd</sup>	%	3 <sup>rd</sup>	%	Total %
Assessed	Data	Progress Report (October)	Change	Progress Report (January)	Change	Progress Report (April)	Change	
Grade 4	1-Write			2-Write				
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+	28.8			84.1	192			
Score: 2-3	57.6			8.9	-85			
Score: NS- 1.5	13.6			7	-5			
Grade								
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+								
Score: 2-3								
Score: NS- 1.5								
Grade								
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+								
Score: 2-3								
Score: NS- 1.5								

**WRITING** 

Enter narrative here. (Creates expository responses in which ideas & details follow an organizational pattern and are relevant to t6he purpose.)

An initial inventory (Clay Writes) was given by the teacher. Instruction in the writing process using Katherine Robinson's Expository Writing strategies was implemented and monitored. A parent information night (PIN) was given to inform parents of the requirements of the Clay Writes and FCAT Writes+ testing.

Curriculum Area/Bencl	nmark: The	Nature of so	cience					
Name of Assessment Used: Classroom Assessment								
Grade	Baseline	1 <sup>st</sup>	%	2 <sup>nd</sup>	%	3 <sup>rd</sup>	%	Total %
Assessed	Data	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Change
		Report (October)		Report (January)		Report (April)		<u> </u>
Grade 6								
% meeting high								
standards Level 3+	37.5			58.8	57			
Level 2	5.7			17	198			
Level 1	56.8			23.9	-58			
Grade 5								
% meeting high								
standards Level 3+	86.2			88.7	3			
Level 2	8.1			7.3	-1			
Level 1	5.7			4	-3			
Grade 4								
% meeting high								
standards Level 3+	98			98.4	0.4			
Level 2	1			1.6	60			
Level 1	1			0	-1			

SCIENCE

Enter narrative here. (The student uses the scientific process and habits of mind to solve problems.)

Strategy used was Teacher/Re-Teach the scientific process for solving science problems. Classroom assessments in the adopted science curriculum were used to monitor progress.

### School wide Improvement Updates

We continue to monitor the progress of all students in the academic areas or reading, writing, math and science. Our students continue to make progress in all areas. Special attention and appropriate interventions are given to any student whose scores indicate a decline.

#### **Directions for Using the Data Chart**

- 1. Insert the curriculum area and/or benchmark assessed.
- 2. Insert the name of the assessment used.
- 3. Insert the grade levels assessed.
- 4. Insert the assessment data in the appropriate column for the reporting period.
- 5. Enter a narrative explaining the data in the space provided under the data table. The space will expand as needed to accommodate the length of the narrative.

<sup>\*</sup>Baseline Data: baseline data is compared to current assessment data to calculate changes in student performance. Data used should measure the same skills or benchmarks as assessments given earlier in the school year.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Comparable Data: using valid and reliable assessment items and administered regularly(monthly or quarterly) by the district or school to the same students, measuring the same benchmarks, using the same test item specifications with the same degree of difficulty.)